Integral Leadership Review

Volume X, No. 5 October 2010



An Integral View

Robert Wayne Johnston



"Fight or flight"...you probably remember those two alternatives for responding to conflict from your initial introduction to human behavior. Starting in 1966, I had facilitated a number of conflict management laboratories and teambuilding projects before I discovered there are more options. The height, depth, and breadth of my integral map of conscious options has gradually evolved over the intervening years to identify and include first 15, later 21, and still later 40 useful options (Johnston, 1972; 1979; 1980; 983).

My latest map of conscious options for empathically responding to conflict is the result of new research through which I have uncovered 56 choices (Johnston 2009). These options can be consciously used for health or for ill for coping with conflict depending on the social situation in which the option is applied. If viewed positively, all kinds of conflict in human affairs may be perceived as providing loving sparks of integral healthful life and an opportunity for soul development as well as greater effectiveness in empathetically responding to conflict.

Self-managing our responses to conflict in conscious, centered, balanced, healthful, empathetic ways is recommended as a beneficial goal for self-transforming and developing individuals, partnerships, families, groups, communities, cultures, humanity-at-large and our ecosystem.

This expanded integral map of conscious options for empathetically responding to conflict is founded on these five basic hypotheses:

First: I hypothesize the Great Ultimate Mystery, presumably our Source, supports healthful levels of conflict, as well as harmony and love. Both provide the energy and spark of creative evolutionary life. It follows, if the Great Ultimate Mystery loves conflict It-She-He loves both/all sides of the conflict.

Second: "There will probably always be conflict to some degree or another throughout human affairs and our ecosystem if for only the reason that when one entity is hungry another is thirsty, when one wants to rest, another wants to be active, when one wants to go left another wants to go right, when one is satisfied another is dissatisfied. The issue, therefore, is not conflict per se, but how we respond to it" (Adapted from Riane Eisler, 1991).

Third: If one perceives temporal events from her or his freest inner place of transparent, centered, balanced, timeless awareness of the "Great Ultimate Mystery," every perception is an interdependent option, every interpretation of every perception is an interdependent option, and every response to every interpretation is an interdependent option with caveats and consequences for more or less good or ill (Johnston, 1980).

Fourth: Whenever we set out to realize an intention, goal or objective we can expect to meet these three entities: a friendly supportive entity; a neutral fence-sitting entity and/or an entity who more or less opposes the intention (Johnston, 1980).

Those three entities may be *controllable*, e.g. our responses to them; *influenceable*, e.g. our political representatives; or they may be so large, intelligent, fast and elusive they are *beyond our control and influence* -- we can only resign ourselves to adapting to them, e.g. volcanoes, hurricanes, earthquakes, etc (Johnston, 1980).

Fifth: Positive emotions undo the cardiovascular effects of negative emotions. When people experience stress from conflict, they show increased heart rate, higher blood sugar, immune suppression, higher secretion of the biochemicals cortisol and norepinephrine, and other adaptations optimized for immediate action. If individuals do not regulate these changes once the stress is past, they can lead

to illness, coronary heart disease, and heightened mortality. Both lab research and survey research indicate that positive emotions help people who were previously under stress relax back to their physiological baseline (Sears, 1999).

In other words, research evidence shows effective conflict management results in healthful levels of biochemicals such as cortisol and adrenalin caused by stress and higher secretions of dopamine, endorphin, and serotonin levels which promote a healthful positive outlook on life, enhance the immune system, and generally slow deterioration of one's body.

On the following page is a 'map of consciousness' presenting seven 'families' of eight options each for responding to, self-managing, and facilitating responses to conflict. Feel free to add your own. More options may be created by combining two or more. *Theoretically*, there is no "right or wrong" option. *Practically*, what makes an option "right or wrong" depends on whether it is one's *intent* to choose the healthiest option available for the situation at hand: individually, socially and ecosystemically.

COMPETE	EXPLORE	EXPERIMENT	TEAM-UP	TRANSCEND	AVOID	RESIGN
My facts	Take a look at	Stick toe in,	Win-win what	Disidentify with	Scary situation,	Oh well, this too
are right,	the cutting	test the water	best meets goals	and rise above	I'm getting out of	shall pass
You are wrong	edge		of our team?	attachments	here fast	
I win,	Conduct	Reality-check	Empathize with	Just relax	Let him or her	It's not very
you lose	a search for	assumption(s) in the	and try to under-	and float on top	take the blame	important
	a viable	laboratory of	stand each other	of it all ('law of	for me	anyway
	answer	daily experience		reversed effort')		
Let's make it	Further	Conduct a	Look for	Take criticism	Don't listen to	Fate will out
a friendly	investigate	triple-blind study	common ground	seriously but	them	I surrender to
game of	the facts we	of		not personally	stonewall	forces beyond

The List of 56-Plus Options for Empathetically Responding to Conflict ~~ An Integral View

	have					my control
Mychaliafa	Conduct a	True out o	I'll give a little if	Go to the	I at an importial	Turn my other
My beliefs		Try out a	U U		Let an impartial	
are right,	survey of	new option for	you give a little	mountain top to	expert decide	cheek
yours wrong		managing conflict		survey options		
My group is	Re-open	Conduct a	Let's agree to	Кеер	What problem?	You're my
smarter and	the case and	longitudinal	disagree and	options open		superior, I'll
more skillful	take a new	study of	move on	pending new		go by your
than yours	look		as friends	developments		decision
I feel	Go into	Expose a group of	Forgive	Transcend,	If I can't have	They are doing
threatened, its	the issues in	people to new idea	each other and	own, and	my way I'll	the best they can
my territory	greater detail	to obtain their	communicate	self-manage	take my marbles	considering their
keep out!		feedback	adult-to-adult	all options	and go home	circumstances
Unhealthful	Brainstorm for	Observe behavior	But for the luck	Each of the nine	Couldn't care	I give up there
bacteria make	additional	for affect/effect	of the draw each	intelligences*	less	isn't anything else
me sick	alternatives	of new education	of us could have	is due equal		I can do
kill 'em!		method(s)	been the other	respect		
Give me	Search for	Classify species	Let's make love	View things	Sorry, I have to	C'est la vie!
liberty or give	new	observed in their	not war	as parts of a	leave for another	
me death!	knowledge	natural habitat		whole system	commitment	
Caveat	Caveat	Caveat	Caveat	Caveat	Caveat	Caveat
If overused or	If overused or	If overused or	If overused or	If overused or	If overused or	If overused or
misused	misused	misused,	misused	misused	misused avoiding	misused resigning
<i>competing</i> may	exploring	experimenting	teaming-up	transcending	may result in loss	to conditions
result in.	may result in	may result in	(collaborating)	may result in loss	of ability to	may result in
unnecessary	enjoying the	misleading	may result in	of grounding for	be effective in	getting
harm to self,	sights at the	results	loss of ability to	negotiating	the give and take	unnecessarily
others, or both	expense of		make individual	practical affairs	of life	trampled by
	desired results	İ	decisions	-		events in life

©Copyright 1972; 1979; 1980; 1983; 2009 - Robert Wayne Johnston

References

Eisler, Riane (1991), The Chalice and the Blade. NY: Harper Collins.

Johnston, Robert Wayne (1972). "Fifteen Options for Managing Conflict," The Integral Management Process Workbook. Los Angeles: McCulloch Corporation Publications.

(1979). "Fifteen Options for Managing Conflict," The Leadership Workbook. Los Angeles: Kaiser Permanente Publication.

(1980). "40+ Options for Responding to Conflict," The Integral Leader-Manager Process. Los Angeles: Western Gear Corporation Publications.

(1983). "40+ Options for Responding to Conflict," The Integral Leader-Manager Process. Malibu, CA: Pepperdine University Graduate School of Business and Management Publications.

(2009). 56-Plus Options for Empathetically Responding to Conflict ~ An Integral View. shiftinaction.com Sears, Barry (1999). The Age Free Zone. New York:Regan Books

Related Readings

Fletcher, Beverly R. (1990). Organization Transformation Theorists and Practitioners – Profiles and Themes. New York: Prager Publishers.

Johnston, Robert Wayne (2006). Want to Know Your Intelligence Profile? http://www.shiftinaction.com/node/4013. (2006) Of Captains and Ships: An Integral Noetic Perspective http://www.shiftinaction.com/node/5233.

(2006) My One Creat Shift? Toward integral colf coords, coords the health and full functioning

(2006) My One Great Shift? Toward integral self, social, ecosystem health and full functioning

http://www.shiftinaction.com/node/3288.

About the Author

Robert Wayne Johnston, PhD has been a pioneer theorist and practitioner in consciousness expansion, integral self-management, creative leadership, and organization transformation and development since 1966. Working as both an internal and external consultant, he specialized in guiding top managements of small to large non-profit and Fortune 500 companies through the process of starting-up corporate-wide organization development projects from scratch. Concurrently, he was curriculum consultant and adjunct professor teaching human behavior in organizations in two graduate schools of business. Profiled in *Organization Transformation Theorists and Practitioners*, he has published widely and made numerous presentations at conferences.

During the ten years before retiring, he changed somewhat the focus of his vocation to introduce his innovative in-depth transformational selfmanagement processes in psychiatric and traumatic brain injury rehabilitation. Now an active retiree, Bob has been giving back to society by doing mostly volunteer consulting work in the areas of self-management for healthful aging and creative leadership for non-profit local, state, and national councils on aging, and the White House Conference on Aging. He founded the Institute of Noetic Sciences Community Group in Amherst, Massachusetts.

Integral Leadership Review

http://www.integralleadershipreview.com